

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
10/564,945	01/09/2007	Katherine Weilbaecher	60005161-0217	4085		
71902 7590 0829/2009 WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY-SNR C/O SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL L.L.P			EXAM	EXAMINER		
			RAO, SA	RAO, SAVITHA M		
P.O. BOX 061080 WACKER DRIVE STATION , SEARS TOWER		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
CHICAGO, IL 60606			1614			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			05/29/2009	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/564,945	WEILBAECHER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
SAVITHA RAO	1614	

	SAVITHA RAO	1614					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 18 May 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.					
 X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C pends; 	the same day as filing a Notice of a eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance FR 1.114. The reply must be filed	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the pelition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for unproses of determining the period of vetension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (a) above, if checked, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in compl	iance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be t	filed within two months	s of the date of				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to thin the time period set forth in 37 (avoid dismissal of the CFR 41.37(a).	appeal. Since a				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b			cause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further con		E below);					
(b) ☑ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		d 195 (61					
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	er form for appeal by materially rec	auding or simplifying tr	ne issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: <u>The amended claims 13 submitted on 5/18</u>	/2009 adds new limitations that ulti	imately change claim :					
require new searching and new rejections. Specific ability of the bone microenvironment microe to be shown to be effective to inhibit the ability of the previously submitted claim 13 dated 01/02/2009 the antagonist. Additionally, the new limitation "in an microenvironment" raises the issue of new I (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33) (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33)	environment" adds in the new limita the bone environment to support me a amount administered is just the the amount effective to inhibit the ability matter since nowhere in the disclos	tion that the amount a stastatic migration whe herapeutically effective ty of the bone microen sure is this limitation e.	administered has ere as in the e amount of the evironment explicitly recited.				
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 		mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).				
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowon-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) phow the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		l be entered and an ex	xplanation of				
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>13-16, 18-21, 23-24</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>1-12 and 25-42</u> . AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	hefore or on the date of filing a No	ntice of Anneal will not	he entered				
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	sufficient reasons why the affidavi	it or other evidence is	necessary and				
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to on showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary to. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control of the	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a).				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (statement)	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						

Application No.

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)
| /Ardin Marschel/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1614

/SAVITHA RAO/ Examiner, Art Unit 1614

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20090526

Does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The amended claims 13 submitted add new limitations that ultimately change claim scope and would require new searching and new rejections. Specifically, the newly added limitations "in an amount effective to inhibit the ability of the bone microenvironment...... microenvironment" adds in the new limitation that the amount administered has to be shown to be effective to inhibit the ability of the bone environment to support metastatic migration where as in the previously submitted claim 13 dated 10/102/2009 the amount administered is just the therapeutically effective amount of the antagonist. Additionally, the new limitation "in an amount effective to inhibit the ability of the bone microenvironment microenvironment" raises the issue of new matter since nowhere in the disclosure is this limitation explicitly recited.

Applicants arguments in response to the final rejection mailed on 03/16/2009 has been considered but are deemed unpersuasive. Not considering the newly amended claim 13 set forth in the response of 05/18/2009 since it will not be entered into the record, none of the arguments presented by the Applicant has been found to be persuasive because they are directed to the proposed amended claims.